

Kim Schrier is bankrolled by groups that support defunding the police. During Schrier’s tenure in Congress, she has voted to strip police officers of their protections and make their job of protecting our communities harder.

**BACKUP:**

**Kim Schrier has taken tens of thousands of dollars and endorsements from organizations that want to defund the police:**

- **Kim Schrier has taken \$15,500 from Planned Parenthood Action Fund.**

Donor	Date	Amount
PLANNED PARENTHOOD ACTION FUND INC. PAC, DBA PLANNED PARENTHOOD FEDERAL PAC	<a href="#">6/24/2021</a>	\$2,000.00
PLANNED PARENTHOOD ACTION FUND INC	<a href="#">9/22/2020</a>	\$4,000.00
PLANNED PARENTHOOD ACTION FUND INC	<a href="#">3/31/2020</a>	\$4,000.00
PLANNED PARENTHOOD ACTION FUND INC	<a href="#">11/17/2019</a>	\$1,000.00
PLANNED PARENTHOOD ACTION FUND INC	<a href="#">9/20/2018</a>	\$2,000.00
PLANNED PARENTHOOD ACTION FUND INC	<a href="#">6/27/2018</a>	\$2,500.00
	TOTAL:	\$15,500.00

- **Planned Parenthood has supported defunding the police.** “Planned Parenthood Action Fund – in solidarity with patients, staff, and supporters – stands with the Movement for Black Lives’ call to defund the police. Defunding the police means investing less in militarizing police forces and investing more in community-based solutions, education, and health care.” (Planned Parenthood Action Fund, “Defunding the Police: What it Means and Why Planned Parenthood Supports It,” [Blog](#), 7/9/20)
- **Kim Schrier was endorsed by Planned Parenthood Action Fund in 2020.** (Dr. Kim Schrier for Congress, [Endorsements - 2020 Endorsements](#), Accessed 8/19/2022)

- **Kim Schrier has taken \$10,159.86 from NARAL Pro-Choice America.**

Donor	Date	Amount
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">4/20/2022</a>	\$54.32
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">3/9/2022</a>	\$123.45
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">2/2/2022</a>	\$123.45
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">9/30/2021</a>	\$250.00
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">9/27/2021</a>	\$1,000.00
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">9/24/2020</a>	\$54.32
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">6/5/2020</a>	\$54.32
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">2/24/2019</a>	\$1,000.00
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">10/25/2019</a>	\$2,500.00
NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">11/5/2018</a>	\$2,500.00

NARAL PRO-CHOICE AMERICA	<a href="#">11/5/2018</a>	\$2,500.00
	TOTAL:	\$10,159.86

- **NARAL Pro-Choice America supports defunding the police.**

NARAL Pro-Choice America supports the demands of the Movement for Black Lives, including defunding the police and investing in Black communities, which are a response to hundreds of years of systemic oppression and the failure of reforms to address urgent needs and injustices. Budgets reflect our priorities. As such, the call to defund the police is also a call for investment in Black communities—in urgent needs that are currently underfunded, like access to healthcare, education, social services, and more. Through this investment, we can work to make sure every person, every parent, and every family is able to thrive.

(NARAL Pro-Choice America, "[Supporting Policies: Racial Justice](#)," accessed 6/17/21)

- **Kim Schrier was endorsed by NARAL Pro-Choice America in 2020.** (Dr. Kim Schrier for Congress, [Endorsements - 2020 Endorsements](#), Accessed 8/19/2022)
- **Kim Schrier has taken \$2,700 from Indivisible Project Inc.** (Federal Election Committee, [Receipts: Dr. Kim Schrier for Congress: Indivisible](#), accessed 11/8/21)
  - **Indivisible supports defunding the police.**



(Indivisible Guide, [Twitter](#), 5/31/20)

- **Kim Schrier has taken \$2,000 from Sierra Club Political Committee.** (Federal Election Committee, [Receipts: Dr. Kim Schrier for Congress: Sierra Club Political Committee](#), accessed 11/8/21)
  - **The Sierra Club supports defunding the police.** “The Sierra Club is committed to meeting this moment. We mobilized our members and staff to take part in massive Juneteenth rallies across the country. We added our voices to support the Movement for Black Lives’s demands: Defund the police, invest in Black communities, and get Donald Trump out of office. The board officially signed on to their platform late last week. Like so much in this moment, these demands might seem unprecedented or radical. (Although I’m sure we can all get behind the

last one). Where did this push to defund the police come from? And why is the Sierra Club supporting it? It comes from recognizing that the problems with policing can't be solved through piecemeal reforms or getting rid of "a few bad apples." The whole barrel ~ the whole system ~ is rotten. We have to shift our priorities away from punishment and incarceration and toward investment in the things that actually keep communities safe, like high-quality education and housing, access to nature's healing benefits, and mental health services. That shift in priorities will have to be accompanied by a shift in spending. Imagine a world where we always had enough money to maintain green space and public transit, because a third of our city's budget no longer went straight into policing." (Ramón Cruz, "A Movement Moment," [Sierra Club](#), 6/23/20)

- **Kim Schrier was endorsed by Sierra Club in 2020.** (Dr. Kim Schrier for Congress, [Endorsements - 2020 Endorsements](#), Accessed 8/19/2022)
- **Kim Schrier has taken \$2,000.00 from MoveOn.org Political Action.** (Federal Election Committee, [Receipts: Dr. Kim Schrier for Congress: MoveOn.org Political Action](#) accessed 11/8/21)
  - **MoveOn supports defunding the police.** "ENOUGH IS ENOUGH. Defund the police. Invest in Black communities. Tell Donald Trump to resign. Join the Weekend of Action: #SixNineteen in Washington, D.C., in your community, or in your home. #DefendBlackLives sixnineteen.com" (MoveOn, [Twitter](#), 6/16/20)



(MoveOn, [Twitter](#), 6/16/20)

- **Kim Schrier has taken \$5,500 from Human Rights Campaign PAC.**

Donor	Date	Amount
HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN PAC	<a href="#">6/28/2022</a>	\$1,000.00
HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN PAC	<a href="#">7/20/2020</a>	\$2,000.00
HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN PAC	<a href="#">6/26/2019</a>	\$1,000.00
HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN PAC	<a href="#">10/29/2018</a>	\$1,500.00
	TOTAL:	\$5,500.00

- **Human Rights Campaign supports a divestment of police resources.** “We, as leaders in the LGBTQ movement, must rise up and call for structural change, for divestment of police resources and reinvestment in communities, and for long-term transformational change. Now is the time to take action, and this letter amplifies our strong calls for urgent and immediate action to be taken.” (Human Rights Campaign, [“Over 350 LGBTQ Organizations Call for Transformational Change in Policing,”](#) 6/17/2020)
- **Kim Schrier was endorsed by Human Rights Campaign in 2020.** (Dr. Kim Schrier for Congress, [Endorsements - 2020 Endorsements](#), Accessed 8/19/2022)
- **Kim Schrier was endorsed by One America Votes in 2020.** (Dr. Kim Schrier for Congress, [Endorsements - 2020 Endorsements](#), Accessed 8/19/2022)
  - **One America supports defunding the Seattle Police Department by “at least 50%.”** (Rich Stolz, “OneAmerica Sends Letter to Mayor Durkan and Seattle City Council in Solidarity with the Black Lives Matter Movement Demands,” [One America](#), 6/10/2020)

On June 10, 2020, OneAmerica sent a letter to Mayor Jenny Durkan and Seattle City Councilmembers standing in solidarity with Seattle’s Black and Black immigrant community. Read the full letter below.

June 10, 2020

To Mayor Jenny Durkan and Seattle City Councilmembers,

OneAmerica writes to affirm our solidarity with Seattle’s Black and Black immigrant community.

**Specifically, we call on the City of Seattle government to:**

- Meaningfully defund the Seattle Police Department by at least 50%
- Re-invest those funds in community-based strategies to reduce incarceration and strengthen Black, Indigenous, immigrant and communities of color
- Respect and partner directly with the Community Police Commission, centering the community’s experience and wisdom in police accountability strategies
- Release protesters currently in custody without charges
- Commit to exploring how best to dismantle, and to dismantle the current iteration of Seattle’s Police Department, replacing it with a vision of public safety led by community leaders

**Kim Schrier voted for H.R. 1280, the George Floyd Justice in Policing Act of 2021, which would enact restrictions on policing practices, cost hundreds of millions of dollars each year, and eliminate protections for law enforcement:**

- **Kim Schrier voted for H.R. 1280, which was passed 220 to 212.** (H.R. 1280, [Roll Call #60](#), Passed 220-212: R 1-210, D 219-2, Kim Schrier Voted Yea, 3/3/21)
- **H.R. 1280 would “establish reporting and oversight requirements” for policing data and “restrict the use of certain policing practices.”** “Passage of the bill that would establish reporting and oversight requirements related to policing data and restrict the use of certain policing practices by federal law enforcement agencies and state and local agencies receiving certain federal policing grants. It would restrict a number of policing practices by federal law enforcement agencies and state and local agencies that receive federal funding, including to prohibit the use of ‘no-knock warrants’ to execute searches in drug cases; the use of deadly force, including chokeholds, except as a ‘last resort’ to prevent imminent injury to an officer or another person; and the use of deadly or ‘less lethal’ force before exhausting reasonable alternatives, including deescalation tactics. It would prohibit racial profiling by law enforcement, authorize lawsuits regarding violations of the prohibition, and require agencies to implement racial profiling training and oversight procedures.” (“Policing Overhaul – Passage: House Roll Call Vote 60 – HR 1280: Description,” [CQ](#), 3/3/21)
- **H.R. 1280 would also “eliminate ‘qualified immunity’ protections for law enforcement officers,” among other things.** “It would eliminate ‘qualified immunity’ protections for law enforcement officers by prohibiting legal defenses based on an officer acting ‘in good faith’ or the purported absence of ‘clearly established’ law. It would require federal law enforcement officers to use body cameras and dashboard cameras and require state and local agencies to use certain grant funding to purchase body cameras and develop protocols for their use. It would make it a crime for an officer to engage in a sexual act with an individual under custody. It would require the Justice Department to establish a national police misconduct registry, and it would establish a number of reporting requirements for law enforcement agencies, including on use of force, racial profiling, officer misconduct records and routine policing practices ~ with data disaggregated by demographics of officers and civilians involved. Among other provisions, it would require the Justice Department to analyze and recommend updates to law enforcement agency accreditation standards. It would authorize \$750 million annually through fiscal 2024 for grants to support independent investigations into police use of deadly force, including to create civilian review boards. It would authorize subpoenas by the Justice Department or state attorneys general for evidence related to potential violations of constitutional rights by law enforcement and authorize grants to states to support such investigations. It would authorize grant funding for activities related to community-based policing practices and non-police public safety initiatives. It would limit the transfer of military equipment from

the Defense Department to state and local law enforcement agencies.” (“Policing Overhaul - Passage: House Roll Call Vote 60 - HR 1280: Description,” [CQ](#), 3/3/21)

**Per the Congressional Budget Office, H.R. 1280 would cost hundreds of millions of dollars each year and eliminate protections for law enforcement:**

- **Per the Congressional Budget Office, H.R. 1280 would incur costs on “more than 18,000 law enforcement agencies” and cost “several hundred million dollars annually.”** “More than 18,000 law enforcement agencies nationwide would be affected by the bill’s requirements. All would incur costs for training and for data collection, management, and reporting. Using information from the Federal Bureau of Investigation and law enforcement associations nationwide, CBO expects that more than 6,000 agencies would either need to upgrade or to invest in new technology to comply with the new reporting requirements. Also using information from the FBI and law enforcement experts, CBO estimates that the costs across all intergovernmental entities would total several hundred million dollars annually to collect, manage, and transmit data to DOJ. Those costs would be higher the first year after the bill was enacted, as agencies made significant investments in technology.” (Phillip L. Swagel, “Re: H.R. 1280, the George Floyd Justice in Policing Act of 2021,” [Congressional Budget Office](#), 3/9/21)
  - **H.R. 1280 would “cost local departments hundreds of millions of dollars in training, data collection and equipment costs.”** “A House Democrats’ bill to revamp policing and end racial profiling would cost local departments hundreds of millions of dollars in training, data collection and equipment costs, according to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office.” (Jonathan Nicholson, “Democratic policing bill would cost departments hundreds of millions to comply with, says CBO,” [MarketWatch](#), 3/11/21)
- **H.R. 1280 would eliminate qualified immunity for law enforcement.** “This legislation makes it easier for the federal government to successfully prosecute police misconduct cases, ends racial and religious profiling and eliminates qualified immunity for law enforcement.” ([House Committee on the Judiciary](#), “Issues: Justice in Policing Act,” Accessed 11/1/21)
  - **Qualified immunity protects police officers “from civil lawsuits so long as their conduct does not violate clearly established law or constitutional rights of which a reasonable officer would have known.”** “Qualified immunity provides police officers with protection from civil lawsuits so long as their conduct does not violate clearly established law or constitutional rights of which a reasonable officer would have known. Further, qualified immunity does not prevent individuals from recovering damages from police officers who knowingly violate an individual’s constitutional rights.” (International Association of Chiefs of Police, “[IACP Statement on Qualified Immunity](#),” Accessed 11/1/21)
- **Ending qualified immunity protections “would have a profoundly chilling effect on police officers and limit their ability and willingness to respond to critical incidents without hesitation.”** “Qualified immunity is an essential part of policing and American

jurisprudence. It allows police officers to respond to incidents without pause, make split-second decisions, and rely on the current state of the law in making those decisions. This protection is essential because it ensures officers that good faith actions, based on their understanding of the law at the time of the action, will not later be found to be unconstitutional. The loss of this protection would have a profoundly chilling effect on police officers and limit their ability and willingness to respond to critical incidents without hesitation.” (International Association of Chiefs of Police, “[IACP Statement on Qualified Immunity](#),” Accessed 11/1/21)